Working Towards Digital Archive Transparency

It is time to add cryptographic timestamps to all the things

archive.rip

July 2nd, 2025

1. Introduction

2. What is the problem?

3. How can we fix this?

4. What about transparency logs?

5. Where I'm at? (a work in progress)

1. Introduction

1.1

• online digital archives (The Internet Archive, ...)

- online digital archives (The Internet Archive, ...)
- other entities "distributing documents" (in a wide sense)

- online digital archives (The Internet Archive, ...)
- other entities "distributing documents" (in a wide sense)

We will <u>not</u> focus on "how" these documents are distributed:

- online digital archives (The Internet Archive, ...)
- other entities "distributing documents" (in a wide sense)

We will <u>not</u> focus on "how" these documents are distributed:

We want to authenticate their "when" & "where"

Why do we need to establish trust into digital archives?

1

Why do we need to establish trust into digital archives?

• Imagine you are a cryptographer named Alice 🛓

- Imagine you are a cryptographer named Alice 🛓
- Bob gives you a NIST paper he downloaded ten years ago

- Imagine you are a cryptographer named Alice 🛓
- Bob gives you a NIST paper he downloaded ten years ago
- You search for it online, to "verify" the document is legitimate

- Imagine you are a cryptographer named Alice 🛓
- Bob gives you a NIST paper he downloaded ten years ago
- You search for it online, to "verify" the document is *legitimate* +You check the domain name (e.g nist.gov not nist.rip)

- Imagine you are a cryptographer named Alice 🛓
- Bob gives you a NIST paper he downloaded ten years ago
- You search for it online, to "verify" the document is *legitimate* +You check the domain name (e.g nist.gov not nist.rip) +You check that your connection is secure

- Imagine you are a cryptographer named Alice 🛓
- Bob gives you a NIST paper he downloaded ten years ago
- You search for it online, to "verify" the document is *legitimate* +You check the domain name (e.g nist.gov not nist.rip) +You check that your connection is secure
- The NIST website seems to be the document's primary origin

- Imagine you are a cryptographer named Alice 🛓
- Bob gives you a NIST paper he downloaded ten years ago
- You search for it online, to "verify" the document is *legitimate* +You check the domain name (e.g nist.gov not nist.rip) +You check that your connection is secure
- The NIST website <u>seems</u> to be the document's **primary origin** The website & document metadata say "published in 2015"

Roleplay (as a cryptographer)

Why do we need to establish trust into digital archives?

- Imagine you are a cryptographer named Alice 📐
- Bob gives you a NIST paper he downloaded ten years ago
- You search for it online, to "verify" the document is legitimate
- The NIST website seems to be the document's primary origin

trusting metadata? in this economy?



- Imagine you are a cryptographer named Alice 🕍
- Bob gives you a NIST paper he downloaded ten years ago
- You search for it online, to "verify" the document is legitimate
- The NIST website seems to be the document's primary origin

- Imagine you are a cryptographer named Alice 🛓
- Bob gives you a NIST paper he downloaded ten years ago
- You search for it online, to "verify" the document is legitimate
- The NIST website today seems to be the document's primary origin

- Imagine you are a cryptographer named Alice 🛓
- Bob gives you a NIST paper he downloaded ten years ago
- You search for it online, to "verify" the document is legitimate
- The NIST website today seems to be the document's primary origin

- Imagine you are a cryptographer named Alice 🛓
- Bob gives you a NIST paper he downloaded ten years ago
- You search for it online, to "verify" the document is legitimate
- The NIST website today seems to be the document's primary origin

You learned two things from that informal protocol:

• The "where",

- Imagine you are a cryptographer named Alice 🛓
- Bob gives you a NIST paper he downloaded ten years ago
- You search for it online, to "verify" the document is legitimate
- The NIST website today seems to be the document's primary origin

You learned two things from that informal protocol:

• The "where", some server you securely connected to

- Imagine you are a cryptographer named Alice 🛓
- Bob gives you a NIST paper he downloaded ten years ago
- You search for it online, to "verify" the document is legitimate
- The NIST website today seems to be the document's primary origin

- The "where", some server you securely connected to
- The "when",

- Imagine you are a cryptographer named Alice 🛓
- Bob gives you a NIST paper he downloaded ten years ago
- You search for it online, to "verify" the document is legitimate
- The NIST website today seems to be the document's primary origin

- The "where", some server you securely connected to
- The "when", today,

- Imagine you are a cryptographer named Alice 🛓
- Bob gives you a NIST paper he downloaded ten years ago
- You search for it online, to "verify" the document is legitimate
- The NIST website today seems to be the document's primary origin

- The "where", some server you securely connected to
- The "when", today, you have learned nothing about the past

- Imagine you are a cryptographer named Alice 🛓
- Bob gives you a NIST paper he downloaded ten years ago
- You search for it online, to "verify" the document is legitimate
- The NIST website today seems to be the document's primary origin

You learned two things from that informal protocol:

- The "where", some server you securely connected to
- The "when", today, you have learned nothing about the past

You were not there ten years ago!

1

This informal protocol is very limited in its results:

1

• I saw that document today

1

• I saw that document today on the (official) website \checkmark

- I saw that document ${\bf today}$ on the (official) website \checkmark
- Nothing proves to you that it was the same in the past imes

- I saw that document today on the (official) website \checkmark
- Nothing *proves to you* that it was the same *in the past* X Maybe you're talking to a bad actor?

- I saw that document today on the (official) website \checkmark
- Nothing proves to you that it was the same in the past X Maybe you're talking to a bad actor?
 Some legitimate modification not listed explicitly?

- I saw that document today on the (official) website \checkmark
- Nothing proves to you that it was the same in the past X Maybe you're talking to a bad actor?
 Some legitimate modification not listed explicitly?
 Some security issue, was modified 5 years ago, no one noticed?

- I saw that document today on the (official) website \checkmark
- Nothing proves to you that it was the same in the past X Maybe you're talking to a bad actor?
 Some legitimate modification not listed explicitly?
 Some security issue, was modified 5 years ago, no one noticed?
 Some new management, a new board with new policies?

- I saw that document today on the (official) website \checkmark
- Nothing proves to you that it was the same in the past X Maybe you're talking to a bad actor?
 Some legitimate modification not listed explicitly?
 Some security issue, was modified 5 years ago, no one noticed?
 Some new management, a new board with new policies? You just don't know what could have happened!

- I saw that document ${\bf today}$ on the (official) website \checkmark
- Nothing proves to you that it was the same in the past imes

There is no way around it:

- I saw that document today on the (official) website \checkmark
- Nothing proves to you that it was the same in the past imes

There is no way around it:

You have to trust someone
This informal protocol is very limited in its results:

- I saw that document today on the (official) website \checkmark
- Nothing proves to you that it was the same in the past imes

There is no way around it:

You have to trust someone (someone in the past, ten years ago)

This informal protocol is very limited in its results:

- I saw that document today on the (official) website \checkmark
- Nothing proves to you that it was the same in the past imes

There is no way around it:

You have to trust someone (someone in the past, ten years ago)

What about people ten years in the future? ¹

¹note that to these future people, we are that "someone in the past" :)

Is this really an issue?



no problem if document stored on me computer

2. What is the problem?

.

The informal approach is flawed, because we have monkey brains

The informal approach is flawed, because it is online & interactive

(primary origin)*



(primary origin)*

В * А

(primary origin)*





• A chain of trust relying on its weakest link :(



1

- A chain of trust relying on its weakest link :(
- Funding?



- A chain of trust relying on its weakest link :(
- Funding? Oceanic cables?



- A chain of trust relying on its weakest link :(
- Funding? Oceanic cables? Neglect?



- A chain of trust relying on its weakest link :(
- Sooner or later, you will loose your online source of trust :(



- An *informal* chain of trust relying on its weakest link :(
- Sooner or later, you will loose your online source of trust :(



- An informal chain of trust relying on its weakest link :(
- Sooner or later, you will loose your online source of trust :(

What if a bad actor tampers with our digital records?



- An informal chain of trust relying on its weakest link :(
- Sooner or later, you will loose your online source of trust :(

What if a bad actor tampers with our digital records? (or merely convincingly claims it has)



- An informal chain of trust relying on its weakest link :(
- Sooner or later, you will loose your online source of trust :(

What if a bad actor tampers with our digital records? (or merely convincingly claims it has)



- An *informal* chain of trust relying on its weakest link :(
- Sooner or later, you will loose your online source of trust :(

What if a bad actor tampers with our digital records? (or merely convincingly claims it has)

We have no way to "revert back" to a "trusted past" 😟

- An *informal* chain of trust relying on its weakest link :(
- Sooner or later, you will loose your online source of trust :(

What if a bad actor tampers with our digital records? (or merely convincingly claims it has)

The digital past is indistinguishable from backdated data

• They become the new weakest link of the chain of trust :(

- They become the new weakest link of the chain of trust :(
- We can not expect perfect operational security for decades :(

- They become the new weakest link of the chain of trust :(
- We can not expect perfect operational security for decades :(
- They can not afford becoming a target for bad actors :((

- They become the new weakest link of the chain of trust :(
- We can not expect perfect operational security for decades :(
- They can not afford becoming a target for bad actors :((

Bad actors capabilities² to generate convincing fakes are *expanding fast*

 $^{^2\}ensuremath{\mathsf{as}}$ well as their economic incentives to attempt such attacks

- They become the new weakest link of the chain of trust :(
- We can not expect perfect operational security for decades :(
- They can not afford becoming a target for bad actors :((

Bad actors capabilities² to generate <u>convincing</u> fakes are *expanding fast*

We need to fix this now³

²as well as their economic incentives to attempt such attacks

³and we should have started 5 years ago

The fix is digital signatures, no?



just add cryptogrophy

You're in luck!



3. How can we fix this?

• A trusted third-party signing a "current now" together with a hash⁴

⁴may be used as a *proof of existence* of the hashed data at the signed timestamp

- A trusted third-party signing a "current now" together with a hash⁴
- Used in legal compliance & other business-for-business industries

⁴may be used as a *proof of existence* of the hashed data at the signed timestamp

- A trusted third-party signing a "current now" together with a hash⁴
- Other more elaborate constructs are available⁵ (blockchains yay!)

 $^{^4}$ may be used as a *proof of existence* of the hashed data at the signed timestamp 5 we'll first use RFC3161 as "good cryptography" is not our limiting factor here

- A trusted third-party signing a "current now" together with a hash⁴
- Other more elaborate constructs are available⁵ (blockchains yay!)
- Some public tools available around trusted timestamps

 $^{^4}$ may be used as a *proof of existence* of the hashed data at the signed timestamp 5 we'll first use RFC3161 as "good cryptography" is not our limiting factor here

What about Trusted Timestamps?

quovadisglobal

"RFC3161: Internet X.509 PKI Time-Stamp Protocol (TSP)" 2001

- A trusted third-party signing a "current now" together with a hash⁴
- Other more elaborate constructs are available⁵ (blockchains yay!)
- Some public tools available around trusted timestamps

```
L trailofbits / rfc3161-client Public
 <> Code
           Issues 3
                          11 Pull requests
[Meta] Support for various TSAs #46
         DarkaMaul opened on Oct 30, 2024
                                                                 Collaborator ...
                                                                                  Assignees
                                                                                  No one assigned
         TSA Validation Results
                                                                                  Labels
                                                                                  No labels
           Status
                    TSA
                                      Details
             ×
                    digicert
                                      Invalid Set Ordering Error
                                                                                  Type
                                                                                  No type
             ×
                    globalsign
                                      Invalid Set Ordering Error
             ×
                    sectigo
                                      Invalid Set Ordering Error
                                                                                  Projects
             ×
                    sectigo 2
                                      Invalid Set Ordering Error
                                                                                  No projects
            Invalid name verification
                    entrust
                                                                                  Milestone
            Invalid name verification
                    swisssign
                                                                                  No milestone
```

Invalid name verification
"RFC3161: Internet X.509 PKI Time-Stamp Protocol (TSP)" 2001

- A trusted third-party signing a "current now" together with a hash⁴
- Other more elaborate constructs are available⁵ (blockchains yay!)
- Some public tools available around trusted timestamps
- Some existing usage in WebRecorder⁶ a web archiving tool!

⁴may be used as a *proof of existence* of the hashed data at the signed timestamp ⁵we'll first use RFC3161 as "good cryptography" is not our limiting factor here ⁶notably used by perma.cc from the Harward Library Innovation Lab

"RFC3161: Internet X.509 PKI Time-Stamp Protocol (TSP)" 2001

- A trusted third-party signing a "current now" together with a hash⁴
- Other more elaborate constructs are available⁵ (blockchains yay!)
- Some public tools available around trusted timestamps
- Some existing usage in WebRecorder⁶ a web archiving tool!

Let's take a look at what they are doing! 🧐

⁴may be used as a *proof of existence* of the hashed data at the signed timestamp ⁵we'll first use RFC3161 as "good cryptography" is not our limiting factor here ⁶notably used by perma.cc from the Harward Library Innovation Lab

(a look at an existing usage of trusted timestamp in digital archives)1. Build a web capture (a WACZ archive) for a document collection

- 1. Build a web capture (a WACZ archive) for a document collection
- 2. Use your domain certificate⁷ to sign an inventory of the documents

⁷WebRecorder own tool uses an ACME-issued Let's Encrypt certificate, for example

- 1. Build a web capture (a WACZ archive) for a document collection
- 2. Use your domain certificate⁷ to sign an inventory of the documents
- 3. Reach out to a public RFC3161 server and get a trusted timestamp

⁷WebRecorder own tool uses an ACME-issued Let's Encrypt certificate, for example

- 1. Build a web capture (a WACZ archive) for a document collection
- 2. Use your domain certificate 7 to sign an inventory of the documents
- 3. Reach out to a public RFC3161 server and get a trusted timestamp

It's simple & sound,

⁷WebRecorder own tool uses an ACME-issued Let's Encrypt certificate, for example

- 1. Build a web capture (a WACZ archive) for a document collection
- 2. Use your domain certificate⁷ to sign an inventory of the documents
- 3. Reach out to a public RFC3161 server and get a trusted timestamp

It's simple & sound, but not really what we want!

⁷WebRecorder own tool uses an ACME-issued Let's Encrypt certificate, for example

- 1. Build a web capture (a WACZ archive) for a document collection
- 2. Use your domain certificate⁷ to sign an inventory of the documents
- 3. Reach out to a public RFC3161 server and get a trusted timestamp

It's simple & sound, but not really what we want! 😇

⁷WebRecorder own tool uses an ACME-issued Let's Encrypt certificate, for example ⁸trusted timestamps are easier to scale than archival (b/c storage, copyright issues...)

- 1. Build a web capture (a WACZ archive) for a document collection
- 2. Use your domain certificate⁷ to sign an inventory of the documents
- 3. Reach out to a public RFC3161 server and get a trusted timestamp

It's simple & sound, but not really what we want! 😇

We do NOT^8 want to store any document ourselves!

• Most documents existing today do not have any signature attached

⁷WebRecorder own tool uses an ACME-issued Let's Encrypt certificate, for example ⁸trusted timestamps are easier to scale than archival (b/c storage, copyright issues...)

- 1. Build a web capture (a WACZ archive) for a document collection
- 2. Use your domain certificate⁷ to sign an inventory of the documents
- 3. Reach out to a public RFC3161 server and get a trusted timestamp

It's simple & sound, but not really what we want! 😇

- Most documents existing today <u>do not have</u> any signature attached
- Is there a public database of trusted timestamps of all the things?

⁷WebRecorder own tool uses an ACME-issued Let's Encrypt certificate, for example ⁸trusted timestamps are easier to scale than archival (b/c storage, copyright issues...)

- 1. Build a web capture (a WACZ archive) for a document collection
- 2. Use your domain certificate⁷ to sign an inventory of the documents
- 3. Reach out to a public RFC3161 server and get a trusted timestamp

It's simple & sound, but not really what we want! 😇

- Most documents existing today <u>do not have</u> any signature attached
- Is there a public database of trusted timestamps of all the things?
- Is there an "oracle" somewhere

⁷WebRecorder own tool uses an ACME-issued Let's Encrypt certificate, for example ⁸trusted timestamps are easier to scale than archival (b/c storage, copyright issues...)

- 1. Build a web capture (a WACZ archive) for a document collection
- 2. Use your domain certificate⁷ to sign an inventory of the documents
- 3. Reach out to a public RFC3161 server and get a trusted timestamp

It's simple & sound, but not really what we want! 😇

- Most documents existing today <u>do not have</u> any signature attached
- Is there a public database of trusted timestamps of all the things?
- Is there an "oracle" somewhere that can answer the "when" & "where"

⁷WebRecorder own tool uses an ACME-issued Let's Encrypt certificate, for example ⁸trusted timestamps are easier to scale than archival (b/c storage, copyright issues...)

- 1. Build a web capture (a WACZ archive) for a document collection
- 2. Use your domain certificate⁷ to sign an inventory of the documents
- 3. Reach out to a public RFC3161 server and get a trusted timestamp

It's simple & sound, but not really what we want! 😇

- Most documents existing today <u>do not have</u> any signature attached
- Is there a public database of trusted timestamps of all the things?
- Is there an "oracle" somewhere that can answer the "when" & "where" based on a huge collection of historical trusted timestamps?

⁷WebRecorder own tool uses an ACME-issued Let's Encrypt certificate, for example ⁸trusted timestamps are easier to scale than archival (b/c storage, copyright issues...)

1

• a model centered around independent authorities signing stuff

- a model centered around independent authorities signing stuff
- a third-party acting as observer, signing the "when" & "where" online

- a model centered around independent authorities signing stuff
- a third-party acting as observer, signing the "when" & "where" online
- these observers would produce as much timestamps as possible (only)

- a model centered around independent authorities signing stuff
- a third-party acting as observer, signing the "when" & "where" online
- these observers would produce as much timestamps as possible (only)
- their output is manifest files fit to be stored, distributed & archived

- a model centered around independent authorities signing stuff
- a third-party acting as observer, signing the "when" & "where" online
- these observers would produce as much timestamps as possible (only)
- their output is manifest files fit to be stored, distributed & archived

All the pieces are already there! \neq

Where are the transparency logs?



transparency in title but not in talk??

4. What about transparency logs?

• How are you going to establish trust?

• How are you going to establish trust? ...

1

• How are you going to establish trust? ... Trust you say?

- How are you going to establish trust? ... Trust you say?
- like trust into independent authorities signing certificate-like artifacts?

- How are you going to establish trust? ... Trust you say?
- like trust into independent authorities signing certificate-like artifacts?

... is this a transparency log we need?

.

• # of new certificates today far exceed # of new documents published

- # of new certificates today far exceed # of new documents published
- help build a more "formal" trust model for independent observers

- # of new certificates today far exceed # of new documents published
- help build a more "formal" trust model for independent observers
 - $\circ~$ focus on "when"+"where" as it can be verified by a third-party

- # of new certificates today far exceed # of new documents published
- help build a more "formal" trust model for independent observers
 - $\circ~$ focus on "when"+"where" as it can be verified by a third-party
 - $\circ~$ help identify bad observers (sign-now-release-later, other attacks...)

- # of new certificates today far exceed # of new documents published
- help build a more "formal" trust model for independent observers
 - $\circ~$ focus on "when"+"where" as it can be verified by a third-party
 - $\circ~$ help identify bad observers (sign-now-release-later, other attacks...)
- put all manifests 9 in a transparency log to make them $\ensuremath{\textit{discoverable}}$

 $^{^9}$ or even all documents ever produced, several billions of them 🚀

- # of new certificates today far exceed # of new documents published
- help build a more "formal" trust model for independent observers
 - $\circ~$ focus on "when"+"where" as it can be verified by a third-party
 - $\circ~$ help identify bad observers (sign-now-release-later, other attacks...)
- put all manifests⁹ in a transparency log to make them **discoverable**
- an existing technology acting de facto as a "chronology oracle"

 $^{^9}$ or even all documents ever produced, several billions of them 🚀

- # of new certificates today far exceed # of new documents published
- help build a more "formal" trust model for independent observers
 - $\circ~$ focus on "when"+"where" as it can be verified by a third-party
 - $\circ~$ help identify bad observers (sign-now-release-later, other attacks...)
- $\bullet\,$ put all manifests 9 in a transparency log to make them $\mbox{discoverable}$
- an existing technology acting de facto as a "chronology oracle"

Let's add a transparency log in our trust model! 🎉

 $^{^9}$ or even all documents ever produced, several billions of them \mathscr{G}



gonna build it meself


gonna build it meself 10

¹⁰a very humbling experience in software engineering

5. Where I'm at?(a work in progress)

• write our own way of downloading the internet

- write our own way of downloading the internet
- integrate with existing archiving formats (warc/wacz, ...)

- write our own way of downloading the internet
- integrate with existing archiving formats (warc/wacz, ...)
- get documents from the already-existing¹¹ digital archives!

¹¹most only exposes md5 or sha1 in their metadata (unfit for digital signatures...)

- write our own way of downloading the internet
- integrate with existing archiving formats (warc/wacz, ...)
- get documents from the already-existing¹¹ digital archives!
- start with the documents you have on the local filesystem :)

¹¹most only exposes md5 or sha1 in their metadata (unfit for digital signatures...)

done wip started later

- write our own way of downloading the internet
- integrate with existing archiving formats (warc/wacz, ...)
- get documents from the already-existing¹¹ digital archives!
- start with the documents you have on the local filesystem :)

¹¹most only exposes md5 or sha1 in their metadata (unfit for digital signatures...)

done wip started later

Where to get documents?

- write our own way of downloading the internet
- integrate with existing archiving formats (warc/wacz, ...)
- get documents from the already-existing¹¹ digital archives!
- start with the documents you have on the local filesystem :)

႔ also collect document metadata for cross-reference 🙏

 $^{^{11}}$ most only exposes md5 or sha1 in their metadata (unfit for digital signatures...)

done wip started later

Where to get documents?

- write our own way of downloading the internet
- integrate with existing archiving formats (warc/wacz, ...)
- get documents from the already-existing¹¹ digital archives!
- start with the documents you have on the local filesystem :)

႔ also collect document metadata for cross-reference 🙏

In most cases, write custom code¹² on a case-by-case basis...

¹¹most only exposes md5 or sha1 in their metadata (unfit for digital signatures...) ¹²such as some batch PDF processing with some basic metadata extraction

• We aggregate data about a document collection in a manifest file

- We aggregate data about a document collection in a manifest file
 - $\circ\,$ pick a format fit for long-term storage & archival (!)

- We aggregate data about a document collection in a manifest file
 - \circ pick a format fit for long-term storage & archival (!)
 - o opiniated choice: ASCII-encoded text files?

- We aggregate data about a document collection in a manifest file
 - pick a format fit for long-term storage & archival (!)
 - o opiniated choice: ASCII-encoded text files?
 - make it human-readable, printable, and fully specified!

¹³see bitcoin's BIP-0173 and BIP-0350

- We aggregate data about a document collection in a manifest file
 - pick a format fit for long-term storage & archival (!)
 - o opiniated choice: ASCII-encoded text files?
 - make it human-readable, printable, and fully specified!
- Lots of hashes & short byte strings to store as text...
 - \circ base32 with error-correction: bech32m-inspired ^13 "r2value" format

¹³see bitcoin's BIP-0173 and BIP-0350

- We aggregate data about a document collection in a manifest file
 - pick a format fit for long-term storage & archival (!)
 - o opiniated choice: ASCII-encoded text files?
 - make it human-readable, printable, and fully specified!
- Lots of hashes & short byte strings to store as text...
 - \circ base32 with error-correction: bech32m-inspired 13 "r2value" format
- we also need structure for resilience to minor file corruptions

¹³see bitcoin's BIP-0173 and BIP-0350

- We aggregate data about a document collection in a manifest file
 - pick a format fit for long-term storage & archival (!)
 - o opiniated choice: ASCII-encoded text files?
 - make it human-readable, printable, and fully specified!
- Lots of hashes & short byte strings to store as text...
 - \circ base32 with error-correction: bech32m-inspired 13 "r2value" format
- we also need structure for resilience to minor file corruptions

• ...

¹³see bitcoin's BIP-0173 and BIP-0350

We are not going to sign each document hash+metadata separately...

- We aggregate data about a document collection in a manifest file
 - pick a format fit for long-term storage & archival (!)
 - o piniated choice: ASCII-encoded text files?
 - o make it human-readable, printable, and fully specified! 6
- Lots of hashes & short byte strings to store as text...

○ ■ base32 with error-correction: bech32m-inspired¹³ "r2value" format

- we also need structure for resilience to minor file corruptions
- ...

What does your "manifest" look like?

¹³see bitcoin's BIP-0173 and BIP-0350

a manifest authenticating miscellaneous documents

← → C ③ File /tmp/manifest-r2mh1kejsmkl3n7w37rykcjskm7ssgf4vr5wrvw8yhkulzescssuzcdhq1jmcard.txt

```
*Xdbutu, acaaoobe-eaut-40ab-azec-aoto140/1144
 @file:12_April_2023.pdf
 @date:20230502T1403097
 @title:"CVE Board Meeting Summary - 12 April 2023"
 @author:"CVE&#174: Program"
rip-add pdf 00023 r2ah166fsr0ug92e830rggglzffgike143gp6cgni3c6eeggiwszdad0s1h094nw 2334622
  +md5:r2h51d7gped8nuel7geqn0u46vs577q16uwcvy
 +sha1:r2h01jpypqvyy83s8ddghjlchad19hphtqlyq1efdkvq
 +doi:10.1194/ilr.D085217
 +xapdid:6c0610ac-1dd2-11b2-0a00-9209271dd700
 @file:1301.full.pdf
 @date:20180621T055501Z
 @modify:20200129T133837Z
 @title:"High-throughput, nonperturbing quantification of lipid droplets with digital holographic %
      % microscopy"
rip-add pdf 00024 r2ah1vvzdzsqezmsym03muet6kv2shn2ksq30y70hcz4dhdy9rxptyxqq14j0a7c 1360233
  +md5:r2h51upd2ezxun6s014yakae0k8c28514x7nju
  +sha1:r2h017ig997n3kv7dz6arspefh28t6hatp8vp1uwk56m
 @file:13TCASII replica.pdf
 @date:20140115T1100037
 @title:"Replica Technique for Adaptive Refresh Timing of Gain Cell embedded DRAM"
rip-add pdf 00025 r2ah1e4s6ctsgafpam35zf4gxm2hn7k7pxu9m3sdpvvfm985mxn8kxzus1fxkulm 472315
  +md5:r2h51ws22dpha54hd2fd9w03c7va4iv1hkakmv
  +sha1:r2b01ncumg]vtgctkvpverevmpprea83vg5ub15kp700
```

documents are referred to using their sha256 hashes

③ File /tmp/manifest-r2mh1kejsmkl3n7w37rykcjskm7ssqf4vr5wrvw8yhkulzescssuzcdhq1jmcard.txt ← \rightarrow C *Xdbutu.acaaoooe-eau1-40ab-az4c-ao10140/1144 @file:12 April 2023.pdf @date:20230502T140309Z documents fingerprint (sha256) @title:"CVE Board Meeting Summary - 12 April 2023" @author:"CVE® Program" rip-add pdf 00023 r2ah166fsr0ug92e830ragalzffgike143ap6cgni3c6eeggiwszdad0s1h094nw 2334622 +md5:r2h51d7gped8nuel7qeqn0u46vs577q16uwcvy +sha1:r2h01jpypqvyy83s8ddghjlchad19hphtqlyq1efdkvg +doi:10.1194/jlr.D085217 +xapdid:6c0610ac-1dd2-11b2-0a00-9209271dd700 @file:1301.full.pdf @date:20180621T055501Z @modify:20200129T133837Z @title:"High-throughput, nonperturbing quantification of lipid droplets with digital holographic % % microscopy" rip-add pdf 00024 r2ah1vyzdzsgezmsym03muet6ky2shn2ksg30v70hcz4dhdy9rxptyxgg14i0a7c 1360233 +md5:r2h51upd2ezxun6s0l4yakae0k8c28514x7nju +sha1:r2h017jq997n3kv7dz6arspefh28t6hatp8vp1uwk56m @file:13TCASII replica.pdf @date:20140115T1100037 @title: "Replica Technique for Adaptive Refresh Timing of Gain Cell embedded DRAM" rip-add pdf 00025 r2ah1e4s6ctsgafpam35zf4qxm2hn7k7pxu9m3sdpvvfm985mxn8kxzus1fxkulm 472315 +md5:r2h51ws22dphq54hd2fd9w03c7yq4jy1hkqkmy +chal.r2h01ncumalvtactkvnverevmnnrea83va5uh15kn700

other identifiers / "codes" are included for cross-reference

← \rightarrow C ③ File /tmp/manifest-r2mh1keismkl3n7w37rykciskm7ssgf4yr5wryw8yhkulzescssuzcdhg1imcard.txt *Xdputu.acaa0006-6201-4020-3540-3010140/1144 @file:12 April 2023.pdf @date:20230502T140309Z @title:"CVE Board Meeting Summary - 12 April 2023" @author:"CVE® Program" rip-add pdf 00023 r2ah166fsr0uq92e830rqqqlzffqjkel43qp6cqnj3c6eeqqjwszdad0s1h094nw 2334622 +md5:r2h51d7aped8nue17aean0u46vs577a16uwcvv +sha1:r2h01jpypqvyy83s8ddghjlchadl9hphtglyg1efdkvg other identifiers (or "codes") +doi:10.1194/jlr.D085217 +xapdid:6c0610ac-1dd2-11b2-0a00-9209271dd700 @file:1301.full.pdf @date:20180621T055501Z @modify:20200129T133837Z @title:"High-throughput, nonperturbing quantification of lipid droplets with digital holographic % % microscopy" rip-add pdf 00024 r2ah1vvzdzsgezmsvm03muet6kv2shn2ksg30v70hcz4dhdv9rxptvxgg14i0a7c 1360233 +md5:r2h51upd2ezxun6s0l4yakae0k8c28514x7nju +sha1:r2h017jg997n3kv7dz6arspefh28t6hatp8vp1uwk56m @file:13TCASII replica.pdf @date:20140115T1100037 @title: "Replica Technique for Adaptive Refresh Timing of Gain Cell embedded DRAM" rip-add pdf 00025 r2ah1e4s6ctsgafpam35zf4qxm2hn7k7pxu9m3sdpvvfm985mxn8kxzus1fxkulm 472315 +md5:r2h51ws22dphq54hd2fd9w03c7yq4jy1hkqkmy +shal:r2h01ncumalytactkynyaraymnnraa83ya5uh15kn700

document metadata is explicitly stored for third-party analysis

C ③ File /tmp/manifest-r2mh1kejsmkl3n7w37rykcjskm7ssgf4vr5wrvw8yhkulzescssuzcdhq1jmcard.txt

*Xdbutu.acaaoooe-eau1-40ab-az4c-ao10140/1144 @file:12 April 2023.pdf @date: 20230502T140309Z @title: "CVE Board Meeting Summary - 12 April 2023" @author: "CVE® Program" rip-add pdf 00023 r2ah166fsr0ug92e830ragalzffgike143ap6cgni3c6eeggiwszdad0s1h094nw 2334622 +md5:r2h51d7aped8nuel7aean0u46vs577a16uwcvv +sha1:r2h01jpypqvyy83s8ddghjlchad19hphtqlyq1efdkvq +doi:10.1194/jlr.D085217 +xapdid:6c0610ac-1dd2-11b2-0a00-9209271dd700 @file:1301.full.pdf other document metadata (title, date,) @date:20180621T055501Z @modify:20200129T133837Z @title:"High-throughput, nonperturbing quantification of lipid droplets with digital holographic % % microscopy" rip-add pdf 00024 r2ah1vvzdzsqezmsym03muet6kv2shn2ksg30y70hcz4dhdy9rxptvxqq14i0a7c 1360233 +md5:r2h51upd2ezxun6s0l4yakae0k8c28514x7nju +sha1:r2h017jq997n3kv7dz6arspefh28t6hatp8vp1uwk56m @file:13TCASII replica.pdf @date:20140115T110003Z @title:"Replica Technique for Adaptive Refresh Timing of Gain Cell embedded DRAM" rip-add pdf 00025 r2ah1e4s6ctsgafpam35zf4qxm2hn7k7pxu9m3sdpvvfm985mxn8kxzus1fxkulm 472315 +md5:r2h51ws22dphq54hd2fd9w03c7yq4jy1hkqkmy +chal.r2h01ncumalvtactkvnverevmnnrea83va5uh15kn700

• first we get a rfc3161 trusted timestamp of the manifest content

- first we get a rfc3161 trusted timestamp of the manifest content
 - use rfc3161-client & rfc3161ng to process query & responses

- first we get a rfc3161 trusted timestamp of the manifest content
 - use rfc3161-client & rfc3161ng to process query & responses
 - \circ validate against 34 (!) public TSA¹⁴ and openssl-ts as reference

¹⁴Time-Stamp Authority – each with a subtly unique & non-standard behavior

- first we get a rfc3161 trusted timestamp of the manifest content
 - use rfc3161-client & rfc3161ng to process query & responses
 - \circ validate against 34 (!) public TSA¹⁴ and openssl-ts as reference
- then we append a signature¹⁵ bound to a given observer identity

- first we get a rfc3161 trusted timestamp of the manifest content
 - use rfc3161-client & rfc3161ng to process query & responses
 - $\,\circ\,$ validate against 34 (!) public TSA^{14} and <code>openssl-ts</code> as reference
- then we append a signature¹⁵ bound to a given observer identity
- then add some tooling (like a CLI) to work around all that

- <u>first</u> we get a rfc3161 trusted timestamp of the manifest content
 - use rfc3161-client & rfc3161ng to process query & responses
 - \circ validate against 34 (!) public TSA¹⁴ and openssl-ts as reference
- <u>then</u> we append a signature¹⁵ bound to a given **observer** identity
- then add some tooling (like a CLI) to work around all that

 $^{^{14}}$ Time-Stamp Authority – each with a subtly unique & non-standard behavior $\stackrel{15}{\star}$ likely some hybrid ed25519+MAY03 digital signature scheme (*wip pqc yadiyada*)

We have everything in a manifest, we still need some signatures!

- <u>first</u> we get a rfc3161 trusted timestamp of the manifest content
 - suse rfc3161-client & rfc3161ng to process query & responses
 - \circ validate against 34 (!) public TSA¹⁴ and openssl-ts as reference
- <u>then</u> we append a signature¹⁵ bound to a given **observer** identity
- then add some tooling (like a CLI) to work around all that

We now have a digitally-signed "certificate-like way"

We have everything in a manifest, we still need some signatures!

- <u>first</u> we get a rfc3161 trusted timestamp of the manifest content
 - suse rfc3161-client & rfc3161ng to process query & responses
 - \circ validate against 34 (!) public TSA¹⁴ and openssl-ts as reference
- <u>then</u> we append a signature¹⁵ bound to a given **observer** identity
- then add some tooling (like a CLI) to work around all that

We now have a digitally-signed "certificate-like way" to archive & share the "when" & "where"

Time to supercharge this with transparency logs! 🚀

Time to supercharge this with transparency logs! lpha

sorry, this is future works¹⁶

¹⁶insert meme_not_much_but_honest_work.jpg

To sum it up:

• we need timestamping services signing everything at scale \cancel{N} (fast)

To sum it up:

- we need timestamping services signing everything at scale
 [™] (fast)
- cheap to operate with good tooling to be accessible to anyone

To sum it up:

- we need timestamping services signing everything at scale 📈 (fast)
- cheap to operate with good tooling to be accessible to anyone
- the technology already exists and is ready to be used
To sum it up:

- we need timestamping services signing everything at scale
 [™] (fast)
- cheap to operate with good tooling to be accessible to anyone
- the technology already exists and is ready to be used
- we "just" need to build the thing and I'm trying to! ¹⁷

 17 but l'm short on staff & resources – come see me after that talk! 💪

To sum it up:

- we need timestamping services signing everything at scale
 [™] (fast)
- cheap to operate with good tooling to be accessible to anyone
- the technology already exists and is ready to be used
- we "just" need to build the thing and I'm trying to! ¹⁷

Transparency logs are a perfect fit for our use case!

 17 but l'm short on staff & resources – come see me after that talk! 💪

To sum it up:

- we need timestamping services signing everything at scale (fast)
- cheap to operate with good tooling to be accessible to anyone
- the technology already exists and is ready to be used
- we "just" need to build the thing and I'm trying to! ¹⁷

Transparency logs are a perfect fit for our use case!

To keep you posted, write to: contact@archive.rip

thank you for listening! 🏄



¹⁷but I'm short on staff & resources – come see me after that talk! 💪

Several resources for the curious mind:

- The Century Scale Storage as an introduction to digital archiving
- The LOCKSS / CLOCKSS project as precursor in distributed archives
- The WACZ Signing & Verification from WebRecorder for reference
- Both Signed HTTP Exchanges & HTTP Message Signatures 44
- and many more I'm sure :)