Nftables and IPS

Éric Leblond

Stamus Networks

July 8, 2014



Éric Leblond (Stamus Networks)

Nftables and IPS











Éric Leblond (Stamus Networks)

Nftables and IPS

Eric Leblond a.k.a Regit

- French
- Network security expert
- Free Software enthousiast
- NuFW project creator (Now ufwi), EdenWall co-founder
- Netfilter developer:
 - Maintainer of ulogd2: Netfilter logging daemon
 - Misc contributions:
 - NFQUEUE library and associates
 - Port of some features iptables to nftables
- Currently:
 - co-founder of Stamus Networks, a company providing Suricata based network probe appliances.
 - Suricata IDS/IPS funded developer



Network Intrusion Detection System

- Analyse traffic to detect event and anomaly
- Detect known alert
- Generate events after protocol analysis

Intrusion Prevention System

- A NIDS blocking attacks before they reach targets
- Made with Netfilter using NFQUEUE target
 - NFQUEUE must send all needed packets



Constraints of IPS implementation

Constraints

- Get all packets for a studied flow
- Get only accepted packets
 - Dropped packets are not a threat
 - Treating them will result in heavy ressource usage

The challenge

- Get an IPS implementation without impact on ruleset management solution
- Changing firewall rules management software should be possible
 - Without modification of IPS ruleset
 - Without change on ruleset management











Éric Leblond (Stamus Networks)

Nftables and IPS

First try iptables -A FORWARD -j NFQUEUE iptables -A FORWARD \ -m conntrack --- ctstate ESTABLISHED \ -j ACCEPT # your firewall rules here

NFQUEUE is a terminal target

- NFQUEUE rule send packet to IDS
- IDS decide if packet is accepted and dropped
- o packet leave current primary chain and go for next one



Simple iptables implementation

Using user chain

Transform ACCEPT decision in a function

```
Implementation
iptables -N ips-accept
iptables -A ips-accept -j NFQUEUE --queue-balance 1-4
iptables — A FORWARD \
         -m conntrack --- ctstate ESTABLISHED \
         -i ips-accept
# your firewall rules here
iptables - A FORWARD \
         -m conntrack --- ctstate NEW \
         -p tcp --- dport 22 \
         -j ips-accept
```

Iptables implementation using mangle

Use a chain different from FORWARD

- Let's find a unused table to dedicate to IPS
- We can use PREROUTING mangle

Implementation

```
iptables - A PREROUTING - t mangle - j NFQUEUE
```

```
iptables - A FORWARD \
```

```
-m conntrack --- ctstate ESTABLISHED
```

your firewall rules here

Contra

- We don't see NAT transformation in IPS
- Mangle can be used by other software

NFQUEUE hardcore

- Alternate decision
 - NF_REPEAT : send the packet back to the start of the table
 - NF_QUEUE : send the packet to another queue (chain software using NFQUEUE)
- Packet marking: userspace can take decision and mark packet



NFQUEUE hardcore

- Alternate decision
 - NF_REPEAT : send the packet back to the start of the table
 - NF_QUEUE : send the packet to another queue (chain software using NFQUEUE)
- Packet marking: userspace can take decision and mark packet

Algorithm

- INFQUEUE packet as first rule if they have no mark
- IPS mark packet (take one bit of the mark)
- IPS verdict with with NF_REPEAT
- If accepted packet get back to queuing rule but marked



Complex integration: repeat mode (2/2)

Ruleset

iptables -I FORWARD -m mark ! --- mark \$MARK/\$MASK -j NFQUEUE



Complex integration: repeat mode (2/2)

Ruleset

iptables -I FORWARD -m mark ! --- mark \$MARK/\$MASK - j NFQUEUE

```
Suricata configuration

nfq:

mode: repeat

repeat_mark: 1

repeat_mask: 1
```



Complex integration: repeat mode (2/2)

Ruleset

iptables -I FORWARD -m mark ! --- mark \$MARK/\$MASK - j NFQUEUE

Suricata configuration

```
nfq:
  mode: repeat
  repeat_mark: 1
  repeat_mask: 1
```

Snort cookbook



NETWOTING

You need to stop that!





Éric Leblond (Stamus Networks)











Éric Leblond (Stamus Networks)

Nftables and IPS

What's new with nftables ?

Nftables and queue

- No change needed on userspace software
- No new features in kernel
- Just a syntax update



What's new with nftables ?

Nftables and queue

- No change needed on userspace software
- No new features in kernel
- Just a syntax update



What's new with nftables ?

Nftables and queue

- No change needed on userspace software
- No new features in kernel
- Just a syntax update

Nftables and chain

- No chain by default
- All chains have to be created by user



Principle

- User chain
 - accessible via jump
- Primary chain
 - Type: filtering, nat, route
 - Hook: point of attachement
 - Priority: ordering of chain



Principle

- User chain
 - accessible via jump
- Primary chain
 - Type: filtering, nat, route
 - Hook: point of attachement
 - Priority: ordering of chain

Syntax

nft> add chain filter input { type filter hook input priority 0;}



- Create one chain for filtering
- Create on IPS chain
- Put IPS chain after the filtering chain



Implementation

- We name chains accordingly to function
- We set a higher priority to IPS chain

Creating the chains

```
nft —i
nft> add table filter
nft> add chain filter firewall { type filter hook forward priority 0;}
nft> add chain filter IPS { type filter hook forward priority 10;}
```



Firewall rules

nft> add rule filter firewall ct state established accept nft> add rule filter firewall tcp dport ssh counter accept nft> add rule filter firewall tcp dport 443 accept nft> add rule filter firewall counter log drop



Firewall rules

nft> add rule filter firewall ct state established accept nft> add rule filter firewall tcp dport ssh counter accept nft> add rule filter firewall tcp dport 443 accept nft> add rule filter firewall counter log drop

IPS rule

nft > add rule filter IPS queue



Syntax

nft add rule test input queue num 1-3 bypass fanout

Options

- num: queue num to use, interval is load balancing
- bypass: accept packet if noone is listening
- fanout: accept new packets if userspace queue length is too long



Nftables solution

- IPS filtering are fully independant of filtering
- Need only to fix priority If ruleset is managed by a software



Nftables brings a fully compliant solution

Nftables solution

- IPS filtering are fully independant of filtering
- Need only to fix priority If ruleset is managed by a software



Nftables brings a fully compliant solution

Nftables solution

- IPS filtering are fully independant of filtering
- Need only to fix priority If ruleset is managed by a software

Nftables flexibility

- Powerful and brings solution
- Tools will have to be well designed



Priority and iptables

- Iptables compatibility predefined chain
- Priority has to be chosen accordingly

List of chain priorities

NF_IP_PRI_CONNTRACK_DEFRAG (-400): priority of defragmentation NF_IP_PRI_RAW (-300): traditional priority of the raw table placed before connection tracking oper NF_IP_PRI_SELINUX_FIRST (-225): SELinux operations NF_IP_PRI_CONNTRACK (-200): Connection tracking operations NF_IP_PRI_MANGLE (-150): mangle operation NF_IP_PRI_NAT_DST (-100): destination NAT NF_IP_PRI_FILTER (0): filtering operation, the filter table NF_IP_PRI_SECURITY (50): Place of security table where secmark can be set for example NF_IP_PRI_NAT_SRC (100): source NAT NF_IP_PRI_SELINUX_LAST (225): SELINUX at packet exit NF_IP_PRI_CONNTRACK_HELPER (300): connection tracking at exit













Éric Leblond (Stamus Networks)

Nftables and IPS

Simple is beautiful

From over complex to a natural way of doing things

Thanks to

- Patrick McHardy to have started nftables
- Pablo Neira Ayuso and the others to have continued the work

More information

- Netfilter: http://www.netfilter.org/
- My blog: https://home.regit.org/
- Stamus Networks: https://www.stamus-networks.com/

